

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SEMAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/455,683 5/31/95	Graene et.al.	AR	D: 1-054 - 2051
NOOE .		EXAMINER LAWDS WAY	
AND THE RESERVE			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1647	33
		DATE MAILED:	06/04/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION						
<u> </u>	HE PER	RIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
a) [isex	tended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection		
p) [expir	es three months from the date of	the final rejection or as of the mai	ing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no		
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.						
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 5/3/01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:						
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:						
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.						
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).						
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).						
	d. 🗌	They are not deemed to place that appeal.	ne application in better form for ap	peal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for		
	е. 🗌	They present additional claims w	ithout cancelling a corresponding	number of finally rejected claims.		
	NOTE	:				
2.	ule lic	in-allowable claims.	i /	ed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling		
з. 🏃	Upon be as	the filing an appeal, the proposed follows:	amendment will be entered	will not be entered and the status of the claims will		
		s allowed: 91 - 96		_		
		s objected to:		_		
		However;	27 121, 130	_		
	□ A	pplicant's response has overcome	the following rejection(s):			
4. 🔲	Tho a	ffidevit, exhibit or request for recor	nsideration has been considered t	out does not overcome the rejection because		
5. 🗌	The af	fidevit or exhibit will not be considented.	ered because applicant has not sh	own good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier		
The	propos	ed drawing correction has	has not been approved by the	examiner. How d. Kunn		
Other CARY I. KINZ						
				SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600		